

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

WWW. USDID ROW.

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
08/28/2001	Pasi Lahti	108347-00009	2909		
7590 05/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH		
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2131		
	08/28/2001 2590 05/03/2005 NDERS & DEMPSE S CRESCENT	08/28/2001 Pasi Lahti 2590 05/03/2005 NDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. S CRESCENT	08/28/2001 Pasi Lahti 108347-00009 2590 05/03/2005 EXAM NDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. ABRISHAMK S CRESCENT ART UNIT		

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>								
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/939,717		LAHTI ET AL.				
		Examiner	-	Art Unit				
		Kaveh Abrisham		2131				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extent Any reply received by the Office later to earned patent term adjustment. See 3	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ive, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, han three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory mi vill apply and will expire cause the application	rever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 27 Ja	nuary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.		action is non-fin	al.					
3) Since this application i								
Closed III accordance v	vitil the practice under L	x parte Quayle,	1933 C.D. 11, 43	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•							
4)	(s) is/are withdrawallowed. iected. objected to.	vn from conside						
Application Papers								
., , ,	is/are: a) accest that any objection to the cet(s) including the correction	epted or b) ob drawing(s) be held ion is required if th	l in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is ma a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ce	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been reco s have been reco nity documents h u (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receive 2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/939,717

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the communication filed on January 27, 2005. Claims 1-10 were originally received for consideration. No claims were amended, cancelled, or added.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments received on January 27, 2005, were fully considered but are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Regarding independent claim 1, the applicant argues that the combination of the cited prior art, Hodges et al. (U.S. Patent 6,305,423) and Clapton et al. (U.S. Patent 6,192,237), is made with improper hindsight reasoning. This argument is not found persuasive. The applicant argues that Hodges does not teach that they may contain anything but Internet (i.e. TCP/IP) connections. Hodges states "any of a variety of computer networking connection methods are also within the scope of the preferred embodiment" (column 6 lines 35-46), and further states that any connection can be used that "assigns client computer an address for allowing the transmission of information to and from client computer" (column 6 lines 43-46). There is not a statement that limits the connection to TCP/IP connections as suggested by the applicant. Therefore, though Hodges does not explicitly mention that the virus

Application/Control Number: 09/939,717

Art Unit: 2131

signatures updates are sent via a signaling channel of a mobile network, the combination with Clapton is believed to be proper. Clapton discloses a system wherein in mobile units (mobile telephones) can send and retrieve data via Unstructured Supplementary Services Data (USSD) messages or Short Message Service (SMS) messages on a signalling channel on a GSM network (column 5 line 47 - column 6 line 13). Hodges discusses an embodiment of his virus signature update system which deals with the Internet and dial-up connections but states "any of a variety of computer networking connection methods are also within the scope of the preferred embodiment" (column 6 lines 35 – 46). Wireless communication is a well-known networking connection medium, and therefore, it is obvious that the virus signature update system presented by Hodges can be extended to a wireless environment. Sending the update on a signaling channel would have also been obvious in light of Clapton's statement, "another benefit for the mobile system is that by the use of USSD a signaling channel can be used, instead of a traffic channel" and further he states, "the use of a signalling channel is therefore a much more efficient usage of the spectrum capacity" (column 5 lines 35 – 46). Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the virus update mechanism in a wireless environment of Hodges with the method of sending updates over a signalling channel using USSD messages of Clapton, in order to be able to transmit virus updates to wireless clients in a GSM network while maintaining a more efficient usage of the spectrum capacity.

Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that

Art Unit: 2131

any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Therefore, the examiner respectfully asserts that the combination of the reference is proper, and the rejection given for claims 1-10 is respectfully maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,717

Art Unit: 2131

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 04/25/05

> / ANY Z SKEIRH SUPERVISONY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100